

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES			
A lot better than expected	0.00%	0		
Better than expected	28.57%	2		
About what I expected	28.57%	2		
Worse than expected	28.57%	2		
A lot worse than expected	14.29%	1		
TOTAL		7		

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	The first speaker spoke a lot about himself and took time away from the material. An overview of the Code would have been more helpful to place the changes in context.	10/28/2019 1:39 PM
2	Very informative.	10/25/2019 11:03 PM
3	A lot of self-aggrandizing and presentsers misstating the law	10/25/2019 10:20 PM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	7
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		7

Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 0 Skipped: 7

#	RESPONSES	DATE
	There are no responses.	



Q4 How useful was the content presented?

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	0.00%	0
Very useful	42.86%	3
Somewhat useful	28.57%	2
Not so useful	28.57%	2
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		7

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	Highlighted changes to Procurement Code and enactment of Ethics Commission	11/2/2019 6:20 PM
2	I was hoping for more practical information about applying the Code.	10/28/2019 1:41 PM
3	Very complete - provided basics, scenarios, case law, etc.	10/25/2019 11:05 PM
4	Some portions were legally inaccurate	10/25/2019 10:21 PM
5	Useful in understanding the basis and logic behind the Procurement Code	10/25/2019 9:42 PM

Q5 What strategy or tool did you learn that you will put to use immediately?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	11/2/2019 6:20 PM
2	NA	10/28/2019 1:41 PM
3	N/A	10/25/2019 11:05 PM
4	Nothing	10/25/2019 10:21 PM

Q6 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	66.67%	4
No	33.33%	2
TOTAL		6

Q7 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I was hoping to get deeper into the statutory requirements.	10/28/2019 1:43 PM
2	There were no stated learning goals and very little of actual substance was covered.	10/25/2019 10:21 PM
3	The first session was incredibly unhelpful, and the second would have been better conducted after the ethics commission is in place. The first presenter in the third session, just read his slides, which was not a great use of time.	10/25/2019 9:42 PM

Q8 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:



Procurement Code Institute



Procurement Code Institute

		EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Clifford Rees, G Services Depa		0.00% 0	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	2.86
Micaela Fische Legislative Fina Committee	,	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	3.43
Jacob Rowbern Legislative Fina Committee		0.00% 0	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	3.43
Marcos Martine of Santa Fe	ez, City	0.00% 0	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	3.29
John Kreienka Office of the No Mexico Attorne General	ew	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	4.14
Mark Hayden, Services Depa		14.29% 1	85.71% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	4.14
#	COMMEN	ITS:						DATE	
	Mr. Hayde interesting	en's presentation r	egarding the pro	ocess of making o	decisions on pro	tests was partic	ularly	11/2/2019 6	:22 PM

Q9 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	16.67%	1
CLE Website	0.00%	0
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	0.00%	0
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	0.00%	0
Bar Bulletin Calendar	0.00%	0
E-mail from State Bar	83.33%	5
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 6		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q10 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Less general information. More procurement specific	10/29/2019 3:53 PM
2	It was almost impossible to get cell service or WiFi a the site of this CLE, the Old PERA building in Santa Fe. As a result, I had to run in and out of the presentations to find service and return a text or quick email. I find it extremely helpful to have access to WiFi during these events, especially when they are held during the work day.	10/28/2019 1:48 PM
3	A CLE calendar for the year might be helpful, or more information about it if there is one already. It would help with planning ahead.	10/25/2019 11:13 PM
4	It seems inappropriate for an ethics CLE to start late, have extended non-substantive commentary, extended breaks and end early.	10/25/2019 10:22 PM
5	wifi at events, and tables/desks for laptops when the materials are electronic	10/25/2019 9:43 PM

Q11 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 1 Skipped: 6

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Contracts, municipal law, health law, more public law selections.	10/25/2019 11:13 PM

Q12 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids		6	
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)		3	
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty		1	
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic		2	
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial		1	
Total Respondents: 6			

Q13 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	57.14%	4
Very likely	0.00%	0
Somewhat likely	28.57%	2
Not so likely	14.29%	1
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		7